



AIGW Policy Observatory

Global AI Governance & Workforce Transformation

Global AI Governance and Workforce Transformation Policy Observatory

AIGW WORKFORCE TRANSITION DEEP DIVE

PwC and the Reinvention of Entry-Level Audit

How AI is moving junior auditors from narrow task execution toward review, judgment and accelerated apprenticeship — while putting pressure on the traditional graduate pipeline

October 2026

CONTENTS

Contents

Executive summary and key findings	03
Case context and apprenticeship model	06
AI in the audit workflow	07
Role redesign and apprenticeship	09
Learning architecture	11
Workforce pipeline and accountability	15
Measured evidence	19
Education and transition	22
Decisions and evidence	26
Notes and references	30

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Executive Summary

PwC US offers one of the clearest public examples of a problem that many professional-services firms are only beginning to confront: what happens to an apprenticeship-based career model when artificial intelligence starts performing the routine work through which junior professionals traditionally learned?

The transformation is already visible in the audit workflow. PwC has deployed generative AI, analytics, automation and increasingly agentic tools across research, data acquisition, documentation, walkthroughs, risk assessment and audit execution. ChatNational, the firm's assurance research assistant, supported more than 17,000 assurance professionals and answered more than 350,000 questions in its first year. PwC's 2025 Audit Quality Report says audit and Digital Assurance & Technology professionals collectively completed more than 50,000 hours of AI-use training during FY25.[2][5]

But the more consequential change is not the number of tools or training hours. It is the redesign of what an entry-level auditor is expected to do.

PwC says its audit teams use AI for specialized audit tasks and that entry-level auditors are being taught not only how to use AI agents but also how to think critically, protect independence and data security, and understand clients' broader business needs.[1] In an August 2025 interview, PwC US AI

Assurance Leader Jenn Kosar described an even sharper shift: first-year staff are being prepared to perform work closer to what fourth-year professionals or managers traditionally did, with greater emphasis on reviewing and supervising AI-supported work rather than spending the same amount of time executing repetitive procedures themselves.[3]

That creates an important tension.

Professional-services firms have historically relied on a pyramid model. Large cohorts of junior employees performed relatively structured work, learned through repetition and observation, and gradually accumulated the technical judgment required for senior roles. AI can compress or automate parts of that foundational work. The immediate productivity effect may be attractive. The long-term talent effect is less obvious.

PwC itself warns against simply shrinking the base of the pyramid. In its workforce-design thinking, the firm argues that removing too much early-career capacity can damage the apprenticeship pipeline needed to produce future experts and leaders. PwC describes an "hourglass" alternative: retain a strong base of AI-literate early-career professionals, develop them faster, operate with a leaner middle layer, and preserve strong experienced leadership.[1]

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At the same time, PwC's own US hiring plans show that the traditional pipeline is under pressure. An internal presentation reported by Business Insider said PwC US planned to reduce annual Tax and Assurance associate hiring from 3,242 in FY25 to 2,197 in FY28, a 32% decline. Within audit, the presentation projected entry-level hiring falling from 1,676 to 1,015, a 39% decline. PwC confirmed that it was decreasing campus hiring goals but did not confirm the specific figures. The firm cited rapid technological change and historically low attrition; reporting on the internal presentation also identified AI and greater use of Acceleration Centers as contributors.[9]

That evidence does not support the claim that AI alone caused a 39% cut in audit hiring. It does support a more nuanced conclusion: PwC is redesigning the entry-level role at the same time that the number of US entry seats is expected to become smaller.

This is the central workforce question in the case.

If fewer juniors are hired, and if the juniors who are hired are expected to exercise judgment earlier, then the organization must intentionally recreate the learning that used to occur through routine execution. PwC's response includes in-person leadership programs, technical training, small-group reviewer sessions, coaching, a revised PwC Professional framework, AI-specific learning, career-development infrastructure, and a 2026 Learning Collective built around 30 human and AI skills.[2][8]

The case therefore should not be reduced to either of two narratives:

- "AI is eliminating junior auditors."
- "AI simply frees junior auditors to do more strategic work."

Both are incomplete.

The public evidence instead shows a transition with at least four interacting mechanisms:

1. Task compression and automation: AI and automation reduce time spent on research, data preparation, documentation and other structured audit activities.
2. Role redesign: junior auditors are expected to move earlier toward review, professional skepticism, business context, client interaction and supervision of AI-supported work.
3. Apprenticeship redesign: the firm must create new ways for novices to develop judgment when traditional learning tasks shrink.
4. Entry-path pressure: PwC's US campus hiring plans indicate fewer entry-level seats in Audit and Tax, with technology one of several identified drivers.

For CHROs, professional-services leaders and educators, PwC raises a question that extends far beyond accounting:

"When AI removes the first tasks in a profession, how do institutions preserve the first rung of professional development?"

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY & KEY FINDINGS

Key Findings

1. The work is changing before the profession disappears: PwC is embedding AI, automation and analytics across the audit lifecycle, from data acquisition and research to walkthroughs, evidence matching and full-population analysis.[4] [7] The strongest evidence is of workflow redesign and task compression, not elimination of the audit profession.

2. Entry-level expectations are moving upward: PwC says entry-level auditors are being trained to use AI agents and think critically rather than being confined to narrow technical execution. Jenn Kosar said new hires are being prepared to take on responsibilities closer to those historically performed several years later in the career ladder. [1][3] This is an intended role redesign, not yet a measured claim that all first-year associates now perform at fourth-year level.

3. Apprenticeship has become a design problem: PwC's own audit-quality reporting says judgment is developed through working with experienced auditors and observing how they identify, manage and resolve issues. The firm has increased facilitator-led small-group training, in-person learning, coaching and first-year development as the work changes.[2] This is important because the tasks most vulnerable to AI have also historically served as learning material.

4. AI capability is being combined with traditional professional foundations: PwC reported more than 50,000 AI-use training hours across audit and Digital Assurance & Technology professionals in FY25. Yet the firm continues to emphasize professional skepticism, review and supervision, accounting knowledge, audit methodology, independence and CPA qualification.[2] The emerging skill set is additive, not a wholesale replacement of professional expertise.

5. The traditional US graduate pipeline is under pressure: Reported internal planning data indicate PwC intends to reduce US entry-level hiring in Tax and Assurance by about one-third by FY28, with an even larger planned reduction in audit hiring.[9] AI is one cited factor, but the evidence also points to low attrition and greater use of Acceleration Centers. A single-cause "AI cut the jobs" interpretation is not supported.

6. Current job postings show the entry-level role still exists — but with AI embedded in the expected skill set: A 2026 PwC US Audit Associate posting still requires an Accounting degree and CPA eligibility while explicitly listing the ability to leverage AI to create efficiencies and new ways of working among preferred capabilities.[10] That is consistent with a redesigned first rung rather than its complete disappearance.

CASE CONTEXT

The professional starting point

01

Why This Case Matters

Audit is a particularly revealing profession for studying AI-driven workforce transition. It combines several characteristics:

- a highly structured promotion ladder; large entry-level cohorts; strong apprenticeship norms; regulated professional standards; repeated technical procedures; significant documentation; high-stakes judgment; clear accountability requirements.

That combination makes audit simultaneously suitable for automation and resistant to full substitution.

AI can help gather data, identify anomalies, prepare documentation, retrieve technical guidance and prepare first-pass analysis. But an external audit ultimately depends on professional judgment, evidence evaluation, skepticism, independence and accountability. The result is not a simple contest between "AI work" and "human work." It is a redistribution of work inside the profession. For decades, the professional-services pyramid solved two problems at once:

1. it supplied relatively inexpensive labor for high-volume junior tasks; and; it trained future managers and partners through repeated exposure to those tasks.

When AI weakens the first function, the second does not automatically survive. That is why PwC is a strategically important case. The real question is not whether software can perform entry-level tasks. The question is: "What replaces those tasks as the learning architecture of the profession?"

02

The Traditional Starting Point: The Pyramid and the Apprenticeship

Professional-services firms have historically been organized around a pyramid.

A comparatively small group of partners and senior leaders sits at the top. Managers and experienced specialists coordinate work in the middle. A larger population of associates and new graduates performs much of the structured execution at the base. The model is not only a labor-cost structure. It is a career-development system. A junior auditor traditionally learns by doing:

- gathering evidence; documenting procedures; reconciling information; researching accounting guidance; testing transactions; following audit methodology; identifying inconsistencies; watching how experienced auditors respond when evidence does not fit expectations.

PwC's 2025 Audit Quality Report describes its own apprenticeship culture in similar terms. Newer team members work with more experienced professionals, and PwC says judgment is honed by observing how seasoned auditors identify, manage and resolve issues.[2] That detail is crucial. It means routine execution has never been merely low-value work. Some of it has also been practice. The profession therefore faces an apprenticeship paradox:

"The easier AI makes the work that novices can perform, the harder firms may need to work to create the experiences through which novices become experts."

TECHNOLOGY & WORKFLOW

AI enters the audit workflow

PwC's audit transformation has developed through multiple technologies rather than one single AI product.

ChatNational: research becomes conversational: PwC launched ChatNational as a GenAI-powered research tool for assurance professionals. In its first year, PwC reported that ChatNational:

- supported more than 17,000 assurance professionals; answered more than 350,000 questions; expanded to 33 countries.[5]

The system integrates with PwC's Viewpoint research platform and uses AI-generated responses grounded in PwC accounting, auditing and reporting guidance. This changes a classic professional task: technical research.

The relevant transition is not that auditors no longer need technical knowledge. It is that the mechanics of locating and synthesizing guidance can become faster, while the human professional remains responsible for interpreting and applying the result.

Data PRO and Acquisition Hub: less manual data preparation: PwC says requesting, gathering and preparing financial data has traditionally required considerable manual effort from audit teams and clients. In 2025, the firm introduced Acquisition Hub and Data PRO. Acquisition Hub centralizes the exchange and tracking of requested information. Data PRO standardizes data, checks common errors and prepares it for audit work.[4] PwC describes the intended result as:

- fewer repeated data requests; faster issue resolution; less manual data preparation; more time for higher-value analysis.

These are precisely the kinds of activities that historically occupied substantial junior time.

Audit technology across six workflow areas: PwC's current audit-technology materials describe technology use across six major areas:

1. smarter planning; AI-powered walkthroughs; real-time evidence validation; full-population analysis; automated data transformation; on-demand audit research.[7]

The firm says generative AI and intelligent agents can analyze full data populations and automate complex tasks, while audit professionals remain central.

Toward an AI-native audit platform: PwC has also said it is developing an AI-native audit platform with embedded intelligent agents that can help orchestrate audit work.[4][6] Its November 2024 announcement described a future platform supporting areas such as:

- data ingestion; risk assessment; quality checking; structured-document reading; term extraction and comparison; technical accounting and audit search.[6]

The firm frames GenAI as an enabler for auditor intelligence, judgment and professional skepticism rather than a substitute for them.

TECHNOLOGY & WORKFLOW

Before → AI intervention → After

FIGURE 1.1

work allocation; qualitative

A directional reconstruction of audit work

Before / traditional allocation	AI intervention	Emerging allocation
Manually collect and prepare significant volumes of client data	Acquisition Hub, Data PRO, automated transformation	Spend more time investigating exceptions, understanding risks and discussing issues ^{[4] [7]}
Search technical accounting and auditing guidance through conventional research	ChatNational and GenAI research	Retrieve guidance faster; apply professional judgment to relevance and implications ^{[5] [6] [7]}
Prepare initial documentation and process descriptions	GenAI/automation supports documentation and walkthroughs	Review, validate and refine AI-supported documentation ^{[1] [4] [6]}
Execute repeated or narrowly defined audit procedures	AI/analytics assist or automate selected procedures	Supervise outputs, focus on judgmental areas and anomalies ^{[1] [4] [7] [13]}
Learn through repeated task execution	AI removes/compresses part of repetitive practice	Learning must be intentionally recreated through coaching, review, simulations and higher-context exposure ^{[1] [2] [3]}
Limited early responsibility	AI-supported junior can access more information and tooling	Earlier expectation for critical thinking, client context, review and supervision ^{[1] [3]}

Source: [4] PwC US, 2025; [7] PwC US, n.d.; [5] PwC US, 2025; [6] PwC US, 2024; [1] PwC US, n.d.; [13] Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, 2024; [2] PwC US, 2025; [3] Business Insider, 2025

Note: AIGW synthesis of public PwC descriptions. Public sources do not provide a complete time-and-motion comparison or the share of first-year auditor time shifted into review; this is not a quantified allocation of work hours.

ROLE & APPRENTICESHIP

Role redesign: from executor toward reviewer

The clearest evidence of role redesign comes from PwC's own workforce thinking and an attributable interview with its AI Assurance Leader.

PwC writes that its audit teams use AI to help perform specialized audit tasks. Rather than focusing entry-level auditors only on narrow technical work, it says the firm also teaches them to use AI agents, think critically, maintain independence and data security, and focus on clients' broader needs.[1] Jenn Kosar described the transition more concretely in August 2025.

According to Business Insider, PwC was changing how junior Assurance staff are trained because AI increasingly performs routine and repetitive audit activities. Kosar said new hires were being prepared for responsibilities closer to those managers perform today, with a vision of first-year staff functioning more like fourth-year professionals within several years.[3] The training

emphasis was moving from only executing procedures toward:

- reviewing; supervising; critical thinking; negotiation; professional skepticism; fundamentals of auditing and accounting.

The distinction matters. AI does not merely make the existing associate faster. It changes the purpose of the associate role.

The intended new role: A simplified representation is: Traditional junior → execute structured task → document result → escalate issue AI-enabled junior → direct or use AI-supported task execution → assess output → identify exception → test relevance/reliability → apply skepticism → connect result to business context → communicate/escalate appropriately This is a move from doing the procedure toward governing and interpreting the procedure.

ROLE & APPRENTICESHIP

The seniorized role and its apprenticeship challenge

01

The "Seniorization" of Entry-Level Work

PwC's experience fits a broader pattern the firm later described in its 2026 Global AI Jobs Barometer.

Analyzing 2.4 million US entry-level job postings, PwC reported that entry roles with high AI exposure were seven times more likely to require traditionally senior or human-intensive skills such as leadership, creativity and face-to-face interaction. Openings for these "seniorised" entry-level roles increased 35% from 2019 while other entry-level roles declined 10%. [12] This is macro evidence, not evidence that PwC Audit produced those labor-market outcomes. But it gives language to what the PwC audit case appears to illustrate institutionally:

"Entry-level work may remain entry-level in tenure while becoming less entry-level in capability expectations." The shift creates an important developmental challenge. A new graduate can be asked to exercise more judgment earlier. That does not mean the graduate automatically possesses the tacit knowledge of an experienced auditor. The organization has to accelerate the path from novice to credible reviewer without pretending that tool access equals expertise.

02

The Apprenticeship Paradox

This is the central intellectual problem in the case. PwC's own workforce-redesign analysis warns that organizations can make a costly mistake by narrowing the base of the pyramid too aggressively.

AI agents can perform many tasks associated with junior roles, including data gathering, processing and reporting. That makes a diamond-shaped organization — small junior base, larger middle of experienced people, small leadership layer — tempting.[1] PwC argues that knowledge-intensive firms should be cautious. If they remove too many early-career roles, they may stop developing the people who later become:

- senior reviewers; specialist experts; engagement leaders; risk owners; partners; organizational leaders.

PwC says it is instead building toward an hourglass model:

- a strong base of AI-literate early-career talent; a leaner middle; strong experienced leadership.[1]

The logic is straightforward. AI can compress the work previously performed at the base. But organizations still need a base because senior expertise has to come from somewhere.

Why routine work mattered: Routine tasks can be inefficient and still be educational. Repeated exposure helps novices build:

- pattern recognition; error detection; intuition about what "normal" looks like; familiarity with evidence quality; confidence in technical standards; awareness of where exceptions tend to hide.

If AI performs those tasks first, a junior may see fewer raw examples before being asked to judge an output. That creates a risk of compressed experience without compressed learning requirements.

LEARNING ARCHITECTURE

Rebuilding the learning architecture

AIGW interpretation: The apprenticeship problem is not solved by telling junior workers to "focus on higher-value work." Higher-value work usually requires capabilities that the lower-value work helped create. The workforce-design challenge is therefore: "How can organizations remove low-value execution while preserving the learning embedded inside it?"

PwC's audit-quality and workforce materials show several mechanisms intended to address this problem.

First-year leadership and technical training: PwC's 2025 Audit Quality Report says first-year associates begin with an in-person leadership program before multi-day technical training.[2] This is followed by ongoing small-group sessions intended to reinforce learning and audit quality. The first-year experience emphasizes:

- networks; client and project work; ongoing feedback; coaching.

Training new reviewers: PwC says it introduced facilitator-led small-group discussions for new reviewers in FY25.[2] The phrase matters. If AI shifts juniors earlier toward review and supervision, then review itself has to become an explicitly taught capability rather than something learned only after several years.

More in-person apprenticeship: The firm also increased mandatory classroom training and organized more in-person learning opportunities in FY25.[2] This is consistent with the idea that digital tools can accelerate knowledge retrieval but cannot fully replace observational learning and social transmission of professional judgment.

Revised PwC Professional framework: PwC's revised professional framework evaluates non-partner audit professionals across:

- trusted leadership; distinctive outcomes;

with audit-quality dimensions including:

- professional skepticism; review and supervision; audit/attest skills; issue management; accounting and financial-reporting knowledge.[2]

This is a useful signal of what the firm believes remains valuable as execution becomes more technology-enabled.

The CPA credential still matters: PwC's Audit Quality Report says obtaining a CPA credential remains an important element of career progression and is a prerequisite for promotion to audit manager.[2] This is a strong boundary against a simplistic "AI replaces accounting expertise" interpretation. The firm is increasing AI capability while retaining formal professional knowledge as part of advancement.

LEARNING ARCHITECTURE

AI training at scale

PwC's 2025 Audit Quality Report provides a direct quantitative measure: "Audit and Digital Assurance & Technology professionals collectively completed more than 50,000 hours of training on the use of AI during FY25.[2]" The firm says training includes areas such as:

- responsible AI; GenAI prompting; leadership in the age of AI; effective and responsible tool use.

This number is useful but needs two boundaries. First, it covers audit and Digital Assurance & Technology professionals. It is not an entry-level-only measure. Second, training hours do not establish capability attainment. They measure organizational investment and participation, not whether every learner can reliably exercise professional skepticism over AI-generated work. PwC also reports average training of 91 hours per

audit professional in FY25 across broader learning requirements, up from 90 in FY24 and 87 in FY23. [2] Again, not all 91 hours were AI training.

The distinction between training exposure and workplace competence is important. In an AI-enabled audit, useful capability would eventually need to be tested through observable behaviors such as:

- recognizing when an AI-generated answer is not sufficiently supported; tracing a conclusion back to reliable audit evidence; escalating ambiguous or high-risk issues; applying materiality and professional skepticism; distinguishing plausible language from defensible audit reasoning.

Public reporting reviewed for this case does not provide population-level measures of those outcomes.

LEARNING ARCHITECTURE

A firm-wide AI-era learning model

In February 2026, PwC US expanded the workforce architecture through its Learning Collective.[8] The initiative is broader than Assurance and should not be treated as an outcome of the audit case itself. It is useful as follow-on evidence of how PwC is institutionalizing the same developmental problem across the firm.

30 human + AI skills: PwC says it identified 30 future-ready skills:

- 15 AI/technical skills; 15 human skills.[8]

The firm explicitly pairs AI capability with human abilities such as:

- critical thinking; empathy; creativity.

That is consistent with the audit case, where AI use increases rather than removes the importance of judgment and interpersonal capability.

Associate Discovery: The Learning Collective includes Associate Discovery, a development experience for associates. PwC describes three broad components:

Development: Hands-on workshops, simulations, applied scenarios, personalized AI journeys and certifications.

Deployment: Real-world experiences and movement across clients, sectors and teams.

Connectivity: Peer networks, leader mentorship, shadowing, stretch roles and technology-enabled coaching. This structure is notable because it addresses a weakness of course-only reskilling. It attempts to combine: knowledge + experience + relationships — the three ingredients that an apprenticeship model needs when routine work is no longer sufficient as the learning engine.

AIGW interpretation: The Learning Collective points toward a wider transition in professional development. Historically, the workplace supplied a large amount of implicit curriculum: junior staff learned because the job forced them to perform thousands of small professional acts. As AI absorbs some of those acts, firms may need to make the curriculum more explicit. That can mean:

- deliberate simulations; structured review practice; rotational exposure; real-time coaching; explicit human-skill development; more intentional sequencing of experiences.

In other words, the organization may have to design what the old workflow used to teach accidentally.

WORKFORCE PIPELINE

The workforce pipeline tension

PwC's public argument for protecting early-career talent sits alongside evidence that its US graduate pipeline is expected to shrink. Business Insider reported in August 2025 that an internal PwC presentation showed annual US Tax and Assurance associate hiring planned to decline from: 3,242 in FY25 → 2,197 in FY28 a reduction of approximately 32%.[9] Within audit, the presentation reportedly showed: 1,676 entry-level audit hires in FY25 → 1,015 in FY28 a planned reduction of approximately 39%, or 661 fewer annual hires.[9]

PwC did not confirm the specific figures, but it did confirm that it was reducing campus hiring goals in some parts of the firm. The firm's 2025 Audit Quality Report independently provides a rounded historical baseline: more than 1,600 entry-level audit professionals were hired in FY25, compared with more than 1,800 in FY24.[2] Those rounded public figures are directionally consistent with a

cooling entry pipeline, but they should not be used to reconstruct the exact internal planning series.

Why the causal boundary matters: There are at least three factors in the evidence:

1. technological change and AI; historically low attrition; greater integration of Acceleration Centers / offshore delivery capacity.

The internal presentation, as reported, referred to transformation efforts, AI and AC integration.

PwC's external statement emphasized

technological change and low attrition.[9]

Therefore: Supported > PwC plans a materially smaller US entry-level intake in Tax and Assurance, including audit, while AI changes the work. Not supported > AI alone caused PwC to cut audit graduate hiring by 39%. This distinction is important enough to remain explicit in every public derivative of this case.

WORKFORCE PIPELINE

Entry-level work and demand

01

Two Different Entry-Level Questions

The PwC case reveals two distinct questions that are often confused.

Question A — What does the entry-level job contain?:
The evidence points toward more:

- AI use; review; critical thinking; professional skepticism; business context; client interaction; supervision of technology-supported work.

Question B — How many entry-level jobs will exist?:
PwC's reported US hiring plans indicate fewer annual seats in Audit and Tax by FY28, but the reduction is multi-causal and remains a forward hiring plan rather than a completed workforce outcome. Both can be true simultaneously. An entry-level role can become:

- more sophisticated; more valuable per person; more demanding;
- while also becoming:
- less numerous.

This is why job redesign and job access need to be measured separately. It is also why a company can truthfully say it values early-career talent while still reducing the size of a graduate intake. The institutional question is not whether those statements contradict each other. It is whether the remaining pathway is large enough and developmentally strong enough to reproduce the expertise the organization will need later.

02

A Current Demand-Side Snapshot: The First Rung Has Not Disappeared

A September 2026 PwC US posting for a 2027 Audit Associate provides a useful snapshot of the redesigned entry path.[10] The role still requires:

- a Bachelor's degree in Accounting; eligibility to sit for the CPA exam; financial-statement audit work; audit methodology; internal-control evaluation; accounting and reporting knowledge; data analysis; client communication.

At the same time, the posting lists among the attributes that set candidates apart: "leveraging AI to create efficiencies, innovate ways of working and deliver distinctive outcomes." The role is therefore not presented as "AI operator instead of accountant." It is closer to: accountant + auditor + data user + AI-enabled professional. This matters because it reveals continuity as well as change. The technical base remains. AI becomes an additional layer in how the professional executes, analyzes and communicates.

Evidence boundary: One job posting is a current role-design snapshot. It cannot establish:

- total hiring volume; average role content across all offices; actual day-to-day time allocation; whether every PwC audit associate has the same expectations.

ACCOUNTABILITY & REGULATION

Human decision rights and accountability

Audit is a high-stakes professional workflow. This matters because AI-enabled task execution does not transfer legal or professional accountability to the model. PwC repeatedly describes its audit technology as human-led.[6][7] Its Audit Quality Report defines audit quality around:

- compliance with professional standards; professional skepticism; experience-based issue identification and resolution; understanding the client's business and financial environment.[2]

PwC's technology materials say AI and analytics streamline testing and surface risks, while people remain central and focus on complex and judgmental areas.[7] The reviewed sources therefore support a general conclusion: "Human auditors retain responsibility for professional

judgment, review and audit quality." They do not provide a simple public matrix showing which AI-supported actions require:

- pre-approval; post-review; sign-off; override; prohibition.

That governance detail should not be invented.

Why this matters for entry-level role design: When a junior becomes a reviewer of AI-supported work, the skill requirement changes from: "Can you perform the procedure?" toward: "Can you tell whether the procedure and result are trustworthy?" Those are different competencies. The second requires an understanding of the first. This is another reason why the loss of foundational execution can create a training gap.

ACCOUNTABILITY & REGULATION

Regulatory context

The PwC transition is occurring inside a regulated audit environment. That creates a stronger governance constraint than in many ordinary corporate workflows.

PCAOB's 2024 GenAI outreach: In July 2024, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board reported that GenAI use among major audit firms was still concentrated mainly in administrative and research activities, although firms were exploring broader use in audit planning and performance.[13] Firms told the PCAOB that:

- humans remained essential; GenAI outputs required review; data privacy and security were major concerns; supervision remained necessary.

This independent regulatory evidence is valuable because it matches — without depending on PwC's own interpretation — the broader principle that AI in audit remains a supervised professional tool.

2025 inspection priorities: The PCAOB's 2025 inspection priorities explicitly included audit areas with increased use of technology, including

generative AI.[14] The regulator therefore treats technology adoption as part of audit-quality oversight, not merely as an efficiency initiative.

Technology-assisted analysis standards: Amendments to PCAOB standards AS 1105 and AS 2301 addressing technology-assisted analysis became effective for audits of fiscal years beginning on or after 15 December 2025.[15] The amendments reinforce responsibilities around:

- sufficient appropriate audit evidence; reliability of electronic information; auditor responsibilities when technology is used in audit procedures.

AIGW interpretation: For junior auditors, this means AI fluency cannot replace audit discipline. The more technology performs, the more important it becomes for humans to understand:

- what evidence supports the result; how reliable the source is; where the model or workflow can fail; when to investigate further; when human judgment must override convenience.

MEASURED EVIDENCE

What the measured evidence can show

Measured evidence.

The PwC case contains several different forms of evidence. They should not be collapsed into a single productivity story.

What is missing from the measurement system.

The public evidence is much weaker on:

- percentage of audit work automated;
- time saved per associate;
- percentage of associate time shifted to review;

- quality of junior AI review;

- time to promotion;

- transition success;

- employee confidence;

- audit-quality change attributable to AI.

This imbalance is itself informative.

Like many AI deployments, the case has much stronger evidence of adoption and organizational response than of long-term workforce outcomes.

MEASURED EVIDENCE

Measured evidence: adoption and capability

FIGURE 1.2

reported measure and boundary

Adoption, training and audit workforce measures

Evidence	Reported result	Domain	Boundary
ChatNational users	17,000 assurance professionals	Adoption / workflow	Not entry-level-specific ^[5]
ChatNational questions	350,000 in first year	Workflow scale	Does not measure audit-quality improvement ^[5]
ChatNational footprint	33 countries	Scale	Network adoption context ^[5]
AI-use training	50,000 hours in FY25	Capability	Audit + DAT professionals; not only associates ^[2]
Average audit-professional training	91 hours in FY25	Capability	Broad training, not all AI-related ^[2]
FY25 entry-level audit hires	1,600 in PwC Audit Quality Report	Workforce inflow	Rounded public number ^[2]
FY24 entry-level audit hires	1,800	Workforce inflow	Rounded public number ^[2]

Source: [5] PwC US, 2025; [2] PwC US, 2025

Note: Measures differ in scope. Training and adoption figures are not entry-level-specific.

MEASURED EVIDENCE

Hiring plans and external indicators

FIGURE 1.3 reported measure and boundary

Planned entry pathways and labor-market context

Evidence	Reported result	Domain	Boundary
Internal-plan FY25 audit intake	1,676	Workforce inflow	Reported internal presentation ^[9]
Internal-plan FY28 audit intake	1,015	Planned workforce inflow	Forward plan; PwC did not confirm exact figure ^[9]
Planned audit-hiring change	-39%	Entry pathway	Multi-causal; not AI-only ^[9]
Learning Collective	30 skills	Capability architecture	Firm-wide, not audit-only ^[8]
AI Jobs Barometer	2.4m US entry-level jobs analyzed	External benchmark	PwC research; not PwC Audit outcome ^[12]
"Seniorised" AI-exposed entry roles	7x more likely to require traditionally senior skills	External benchmark	Labor-market association, not causal PwC result ^[12]

Source: [9] Business Insider, 2025; [8] PwC US, 2026; [12] PwC, 2026

Note: Hiring values are reported internal plans, not completed outcomes; external benchmarks are not PwC Audit-specific results.

EDUCATION & EARLY-CAREER EXPERIENCE

An external benchmark for entry-level work

The World Economic Forum and PwC's 2026 report on the future of entry-level work broadens the issue beyond accounting.[11] It reports that more than one in three young workers globally are in occupations with medium-to-high exposure to AI-driven task change. The framework identifies four dimensions that institutions need to protect or redesign:

1. job access; job design; talent pipelines; education-system alignment.[11]

PwC Audit illustrates why all four matter.

Job access: How many graduate positions remain available? The reported hiring plan raises a real access question even if the entry role becomes more sophisticated.

Job design: What does the junior actually do? PwC's evidence points toward more review, AI use, skepticism and client context.

Talent pipelines: How does a first-year associate become a manager or partner if foundational tasks

disappear? PwC's hourglass argument and apprenticeship investments address exactly this problem.

Education-system alignment: If firms expect higher-order skills earlier, universities and accounting programs may need to prepare students for:

- AI-enabled research; data interpretation; judgment; communication; review; professional skepticism;

without weakening technical accounting foundations. This makes the PwC case more than a company case. It is a microcosm of an emerging institutional problem.

The WEF framework is used here as an external organizing lens, not as evidence of PwC-specific outcomes. It was developed in collaboration with PwC and therefore should not be described as independent validation of PwC's own workforce strategy.

EDUCATION & EARLY-CAREER EXPERIENCE

The education question

Professional education has historically divided knowledge by career stage. Students learn foundational accounting and audit concepts. Junior staff learn execution. Managers learn review, coordination and judgment. Senior leaders develop client, commercial and organizational responsibility. AI weakens this sequencing. If first-year workers are expected to review AI-supported work earlier, universities and employers face a new challenge: “How do you teach judgment before workers have accumulated years of raw execution experience?” Several implications follow.

Simulation becomes more important: If the workplace offers fewer repetitive examples, training may need to provide synthetic or simulated exposure to:

- flawed evidence; contradictory documentation; weak controls; ambiguous accounting treatment; AI hallucinations; incomplete client explanations.

Simulation is not a complete substitute for live client work. But it can deliberately expose novices to exceptions that a more automated workflow may otherwise hide from them.

Review must become a taught skill: Review is not simply reading an AI output. It requires:

- knowing what should exist; understanding materiality; recognizing anomalies; asking what evidence is missing; tracing a conclusion back to source evidence; distinguishing confidence from correctness; knowing when a plausible answer is still insufficient.

If review becomes an entry-level responsibility sooner, firms may need explicit reviewer curricula much earlier in the career. PwC's small-group training for new reviewers is directionally consistent with this need.[2]

Technical foundations become more, not less, important: A junior who delegates work to AI without understanding accounting standards or audit logic may be less capable of identifying an incorrect answer. PwC's continued CPA requirement for audit-manager promotion is consistent with this reality.[2] The technology can reduce the cost of obtaining an answer. It does not automatically create the knowledge required to challenge the answer.

EARLY-CAREER EXPERIENCE

The early-career worker experience

The public sources reviewed for this case are rich in organizational design but relatively thin on the lived experience of junior auditors. That gap matters. Role redesign can look attractive from the perspective of organizational productivity:

- less repetitive work; more strategic responsibility; faster access to information; earlier client exposure.

But accelerated responsibility can also create new pressures. A junior may be expected to:

- understand more context earlier; detect errors in systems that often produce fluent answers; communicate conclusions with less time spent building foundational confidence; supervise outputs without years of experience performing the underlying procedure manually.

The public evidence does not establish whether PwC's junior auditors experience the transition as:

- more developmental; more stressful; more engaging; more cognitively demanding; more difficult to learn.

This should remain a research gap rather than be filled with assumption.

What would improve the evidence: Useful future evidence would include:

- interviews with first- and second-year auditors; survey data on perceived learning quality; confidence in reviewing AI outputs; error-recognition assessments; mentoring intensity; time spent with experienced team members; progression and promotion outcomes.

AIGW should treat worker experience as a distinct evidence layer, not infer it from training investment.

AIGW INTERPRETATION

Five layers of the transition

PwC's entry-level audit transition can be understood through five linked layers.

Layer 1 — Task compression: AI and automation reduce effort in:

- data acquisition; data preparation; research; documentation; walkthroughs; selected audit procedures.

Useful measures: time, volume, cycle time, automation rate.

Layer 2 — Workflow redesign: Audit work is reorganized around AI-enabled research, analytics, evidence matching and intelligent-agent support. Useful measures: workflow sequence, handoffs, exception rates, rework, review burden.

Layer 3 — Role redesign: The junior role shifts from narrow execution toward:

- review; supervision; skepticism; client context; communication; judgment.

Useful measures: role expectations, task mix, performance criteria, client exposure.

Layer 4 — Apprenticeship redesign: Learning moves from repeated execution alone toward a mix of:

- live project exposure; coaching; in-person training; small-group reviewer development; simulation; AI-enabled learning; structured feedback.

Useful measures: learning hours, mentorship, review capability, progression, time to autonomy.

Layer 5 — Entry-path redesign: The number and type of entry roles change. PwC's reported hiring plan suggests a smaller annual US intake, while current postings require an expanded skill mix. Useful measures: openings, hires, applicant requirements, geography, offshoring, retention, career progression.

Why the layers matter: An organization can improve Layers 1–3 and still damage Layer 4 or Layer 5. It can become more efficient at delivering work while becoming worse at creating future experts. That is why productivity alone cannot measure whether AI transition is sustainable.

AIGW INTERPRETATION

The apprenticeship equation

FIGURE 1.4 task, learning value and replacement

Rebuilding the learning embedded in junior work

Old task	Hidden learning value	Possible replacement
Manual tie-out / reconciliation	Pattern recognition; error familiarity	Exception-rich simulations + supervised AI review ^{[1] [2] [3] [11]}
Repetitive evidence gathering	Understanding source quality	Evidence-reliability exercises + real client walkthroughs ^{[1] [2] [3] [11]}
Technical research	Knowing standards and terminology	AI-assisted research + source validation + oral defense ^{[1] [2] [3] [11]}
Preparing workpapers	Structuring audit logic	review of AI-prepared work + rationale reconstruction ^{[1] [2] [3] [11]}
Junior client requests	Communication practice	Earlier supervised client interaction ^{[1] [2] [3] [11]}
Repeated testing	Understanding controls and failure modes	Full-population analytics + targeted exception investigation ^{[1] [2] [3] [11]}

Source: [1] PwC US, n.d.; [2] PwC US, 2025; [3] Business Insider, 2025; [11] World Economic Forum, 2026

Note: AIGW analytical model.

Reduced routine work + higher early-career expectations + fewer entry seats = greater pressure on deliberate capability formation.

A useful way to frame the management problem is:

The solution is not necessarily to preserve inefficient manual work.

It is to identify what the old work taught and rebuild those learning functions intentionally.

This table is an AIGW analytical model, not a published PwC curriculum.

It identifies the design question that PwC's public strategy is beginning to address.

DECISIONS & EVIDENCE

Decision implications

For professional-services leaders: Do not let automation erase the training data of the human organization. Before removing a junior task, identify: • what business value the task produces; what learning value the task produces; which capabilities depend on repeated exposure; how those capabilities will be built after automation. Track not only hours saved, but: • time to reviewer competence; error-detection ability; professional-judgment quality; client readiness; promotion readiness; future leadership pipeline.

For CHROs and talent leaders: Separate role redesign from headcount redesign. The questions are different: • What should a first-year professional do?; How many first-year professionals do we need?; How many do we need to develop future managers?; Which roles can be delivered from offshore or Acceleration Centers?; Which capabilities must remain local or client-facing? A smaller base may lower cost today while creating a senior-talent shortage later. Workforce planning therefore needs a longer time horizon than the next budgeting cycle.

For CIOs and AI transformation leaders: Automation value should include the cost of rebuilding human capability. A business case that counts only time saved may overstate long-term value if the organization later has to spend substantially more on: • simulation; coaching;

review; mentorship; accelerated training; external hiring for experienced talent. The cost of replacing apprenticeship should be part of the economics of automation.

For universities and accounting educators: Teach students to work with AI without skipping the foundations required to challenge it. Curricula should strengthen: • accounting fundamentals; audit logic; data literacy; AI fluency; source verification; skepticism; communication; applied judgment. The goal is not to graduate prompt engineers. It is to graduate professionals capable of supervising computational work.

For regulators: Audit quality depends on the human control architecture around AI. Useful supervisory questions include: • Which AI outputs can enter the audit file?; Who reviews them?; What evidence supports them?; How is data reliability tested?; How are model errors detected?; How are junior professionals trained to challenge outputs?; Does automation weaken or strengthen supervision?

For early-career workers: The first job may demand more judgment sooner. A defensible early-career capability portfolio increasingly includes: • strong accounting and audit fundamentals; AI fluency; data analysis; source validation; communication; professional skepticism; ability to explain why an AI-supported conclusion is correct.

EVIDENCE & CONCLUSION

What the evidence establishes — and does not establish

The reviewed evidence establishes that:

- PwC is deploying AI, GenAI, analytics and automation across the audit workflow; PwC is developing an AI-native audit platform with intelligent-agent capabilities; ChatNational supported more than 17,000 assurance professionals and answered more than 350,000 questions in its first year; PwC audit and DAT professionals completed more than 50,000 hours of AI-use training in FY25; PwC explicitly says its entry-level auditors are being trained to use AI agents and think critically beyond narrow technical execution; PwC's AI Assurance Leader described an intended shift toward earlier review and supervision responsibilities for junior Assurance staff; PwC continues to emphasize apprenticeship, professional skepticism, review, supervision, technical accounting knowledge and CPA qualification; PwC enhanced new-reviewer training, first-year programs, small-group learning and in-person apprenticeship activities in FY25; PwC's 2026 Learning Collective formalized a broader human-plus-AI skill model with 30 skills; PwC confirmed it was reducing campus hiring goals in some US practices; Reported internal planning figures show a materially smaller planned US Audit and Tax associate intake by FY28; A current PwC Audit Associate posting still combines traditional accounting/CPA requirements with AI-enabled work expectations;

The PCAOB is actively monitoring GenAI and technology-assisted analysis in audits and continues to emphasize supervision, evidence quality and human responsibility.

What the Evidence Does Not Establish.

The reviewed evidence does not establish:

- that AI has eliminated the PwC US entry-level audit role; that all routine junior tasks are automated; that all first-year auditors already perform at fourth-year or manager level; that AI alone caused the planned 32% reduction in Tax and Assurance hiring; that AI alone caused the planned 39% reduction in audit hiring; that the FY28 hiring targets will necessarily be realized; that fewer US hires equal an equivalent reduction in global PwC audit labor; the exact share of work transferred to Acceleration Centers; a measured before-and-after task allocation for first-year auditors; a quantified productivity gain attributable specifically to entry-level AI use; that 50,000 training hours produced a measured improvement in audit quality; that ChatNational's usage volume proves better audit outcomes; that the Learning Collective is specific to Assurance; that AI can substitute for CPA-level professional knowledge; that an hourglass organizational model has already been fully implemented across PwC; that the redesigned pathway produces better long-term careers for junior workers.

EVIDENCE & METHOD

Research gaps and method

1. What percentage of first-year audit tasks are now AI-assisted, automated or unchanged?; How has time allocation changed between execution, review, client interaction and learning?; How many PwC US audit associates are using agentic AI in live engagements?; What is the measured error rate of AI-supported audit work versus conventional work?; How much reviewer time is required to supervise AI output?; Are first-year associates reaching promotion milestones faster?; Does accelerated responsibility improve or weaken professional judgment?; How will PwC evaluate whether its apprenticeship redesign is working?; Will the FY28 campus hiring targets reported in 2025 be realized?; How much of the hiring reduction is explained by AI, low attrition and Acceleration Centers respectively?; Does lower US entry hiring correspond to more entry hiring in Acceleration Centers?; How does compensation change as junior roles become more demanding?; Are there differences in outcomes by office, client type or audit complexity?; How will universities change accounting curricula in response?; Will CPA licensure requirements evolve as AI changes task composition?; What formal controls govern agentic actions inside PwC's future AI-native audit platform?; Which audit decisions remain explicitly human-only?; Can independent inspection evidence show whether AI-enabled workflows improve audit quality?; What happens to workers who do not develop the accelerated judgment profile the new model expects?; Does a smaller entry cohort eventually create shortages at manager and partner levels?

Method and source quality.

This Deep Dive separates four evidence types.

A. PwC operational and workforce evidence: The strongest institution-specific sources are:

- PwC's 2025 Audit Quality Report; PwC audit technology materials; PwC product and newsroom releases; PwC workforce-design and learning materials.

These are first-party sources. They are strong for establishing what PwC says it has deployed, how it describes role design, training activity and its intended operating model. They are weaker as independent proof of productivity, audit-quality improvement or worker outcomes.

B. Attributable executive evidence: Business Insider's interview with Jenn Kosar provides specific statements about how PwC expects junior Assurance roles to change. These statements are valuable for role-design intent. They should not be mistaken for measured population-level outcomes.

C. Hiring-plan evidence: Business Insider's reporting on an internal PwC presentation provides unusually specific planned hiring numbers. PwC confirmed the direction of lower campus hiring but did not confirm the exact internal figures. Accordingly, the figures should be labeled as: reported internal hiring targets, not completed outcomes. Causality must remain multi-factor.

EVIDENCE & METHOD

D. Independent regulatory evidence: PCAOB publications provide an independent external view of AI use, supervision, evidence reliability and audit-quality risk. These sources are particularly important because they reduce dependence on PwC's own interpretation of human oversight.

E. External benchmark evidence: The World Economic Forum/PwC entry-level framework and PwC AI Jobs Barometer provide broader labor-market context. They are not PwC Audit outcome evidence. The WEF report was developed in collaboration with PwC, and the Jobs Barometer is PwC research; neither should be presented as independent validation of PwC's internal workforce model.

Conclusion.

PwC's audit transformation shows why the future of entry-level work cannot be understood by counting which tasks AI can perform. The more important question is what happens to the developmental architecture surrounding those tasks.

AI can reduce time spent gathering information, searching technical guidance, preparing data and

drafting documentation. That can make audit work more efficient and allow junior professionals to engage earlier with review, judgment, skepticism and client context. But those higher-order capabilities do not appear automatically when routine work disappears. They have to be built. PwC's response is therefore evolving on two fronts at once. On the job-design side, the firm is pushing junior auditors toward a more AI-enabled, review-oriented and judgment-intensive role.

On the talent-pipeline side, PwC is strengthening apprenticeship and learning mechanisms while also planning fewer US entry-level hires in key practices. That combination is the deeper story. The first rung of the professional ladder is not simply disappearing. It is becoming:

- narrower in some markets; more technologically enabled; more cognitively demanding; more dependent on deliberate learning design.

For organizations built on apprenticeship, that creates a strategic test. AI can remove the tasks at the bottom of the ladder. It cannot remove the need to create the experts who will stand at the top.

SOURCES

Notes and References

1. PwC US. No more pyramids: Rethinking your workforce for the agentic AI era. Source distinction: Company workforce strategy / first-party analysis. Supports: entry-level audit role redesign; AI-assisted specialized audit tasks; early-career apprenticeship risk; hourglass model; AI-only / human+AI / human-only work design.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/tech-effect/ai-analytics/agentic-ai-workforce-redesign.html>
2. PwC US. 2025 Audit Quality Report. Date: 2025. Source distinction: Company audit-quality / transparency report. Supports: >50,000 AI training hours for audit and DAT; apprenticeship model; first-year leadership and technical training; new-reviewer small groups; PwC Professional framework; 91 average training hours; CPA progression; >1,600 FY25 entry-level audit hires; AI governance and quality context.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/services/audit-assurance/assets/pwc-2025-audit-quality-report.pdf>
3. Business Insider. PwC is training junior accountants to be like managers, because AI is going to be doing the entry-level work. Date: 8 August 2025. Source distinction: Attributable journalism / interview with PwC US AI Assurance Leader Jenn Kosar. Supports: expected first-year role acceleration; review/supervision emphasis; professional skepticism, critical thinking and negotiation taught earlier.. Boundary: executive expectation and training direction, not measured proof that all first-years perform at manager level.. URL: <https://www.businessinsider.com/pwc-ai-training-changing-the-job-accountants-jenn-kosar-2025-8>
4. PwC US. PwC expands AI-enabled audit technology with Data PRO and Acquisition Hub. Date: 3 September 2025. Source distinction: Company product / operational release. Supports: manual data-preparation burden; Acquisition Hub; Data PRO; automated data standardization/error checks; AI-native platform under development; intelligent agents.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/about-us/newsroom/press-releases/ai-audit-tools-data-pro-acquisition-hub.html>

SOURCES

5. PwC US. PwC's chatbot empowers 17,000 assurance pros in first year. Date: 18 July 2025. Source distinction: Company operational release. Supports: ChatNational >17,000 professionals; >350,000 questions; 33 countries; integration with Viewpoint; AI-supported technical research.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/about-us/newsroom/press-releases/chatbot-chatnational-anniversary.html>

6. PwC US. Developing cutting-edge AI technology to reimagine the audit. Date: 12 November 2024. Source distinction: Company technology strategy / operational update. Supports: AI-first audit platform; data ingestion, risk assessment and quality-checking use cases; responsible-AI policies; GenAI framed as enabler of judgment and skepticism; human-led training on AI agents.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/about-us/newsroom/press-releases/reimagining-audit-with-ai-technology.html>

7. PwC US. Audit technology. Source distinction: Company product / workflow description. Supports: six AI-enabled audit workflow areas; full-population analysis; evidence validation; data transformation; human-led approach; focus on complex and judgmental work.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/services/audit-assurance/financial-statement-audit/audit-technology.html>

8. PwC US. PwC Learning Collective Reimagines Development for the AI Age. Date: 5 February 2026. Source distinction: Company workforce / learning release. Supports: 30-skill Human + AI Skillset; 15 AI/technical + 15 human skills; Associate Discovery; experiential learning, mentorship, deployment and connectivity; broader firm-wide workforce context.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/about-us/newsroom/press-releases/learning-collective-ai-workforce-development.html>

SOURCES

9. Business Insider. Getting a job at PwC out of college will be a lot tougher. It plans to recruit a third fewer grads by 2028.. Date: 21 August 2025. Source distinction: Journalism based on internal PwC presentation + company statement. Supports: reported FY25/FY28 Tax and Assurance associate hiring targets; reported audit-specific hiring target decline; PwC confirmation that campus hiring goals were decreasing.. Boundary: specific targets were not publicly confirmed by PwC; hiring change is multi-causal and includes technology, low attrition and Acceleration Center integration.. URL: <https://www.businessinsider.com/pwc-hiring-fewer-junior-associates-ai-offshoring-big-four-2025-8>

10. PwC US. Grand Rapids Audit Associate, Summer/Fall 2027. Date: 2027. Source distinction: Current entry-level job posting / demand-side snapshot. Supports: Accounting degree and CPA-eligibility requirements; traditional audit responsibilities; data analysis; AI-enabled efficiency/innovation as a preferred capability.. Boundary: one posting is evidence of role design, not total hiring volume.. URL: <https://pwc.wd3.myworkdayjobs.com/USEnterLevelCareers/job/MI-Grand-Rapids/Grand-Rapids---Audit---Associate---Summer-Fall-202752926185EIB-1>

11. World Economic Forum. Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Entry-Level Work: A Framework for Safeguarding and Reinventing Early Career Pathways. Date: 22 June 2026. Source distinction: Institutional benchmark report, developed in collaboration with PwC. Supports: >1 in 3 young workers in medium/high AI-exposure occupations; job access / job design / talent pipelines / education-system alignment framework.. Use: external context only, not PwC Audit outcome evidence.. URL: <https://www.weforum.org/publications/artificial-intelligence-and-the-future-of-entry-level-work-a-framework-for-safeguarding-and-reinventing-early-career-pathways/>

12. PwC. 2026 Global AI Jobs Barometer. Date: 15 June 2026. Source distinction: PwC labor-market research. Supports: >1 billion job ads across 27 countries; 2.4m US entry-level jobs analysis; 7x likelihood of traditionally senior human-intensive skill requirements in highly AI-exposed entry roles; +35% growth in those roles since 2019 versus -10% for other entry roles.. Use: labor-market benchmark, not PwC Audit-specific outcome evidence.. URL: <https://www.pwc.com/gx/en/news-room/press-releases/2026/pwc-2026-ai-jobs-barometer.html>

SOURCES

13. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. Staff Update on Outreach Activities Related to the Integration of Generative AI in Audits and Financial Reporting. Date: 22 July 2024. Source distinction: Independent regulator / staff outreach. Supports: GenAI use primarily administrative/research at time of outreach; firms exploring planning/performance uses; human review/supervision remains essential; privacy/security and reliability concerns.. URL: <https://pcaobus.org/news-events/news-releases/news-release-detail/pcaob-staff-shares-observations-from-outreach-on-use-of-generative-artificial-intelligence-in-audits-and-financial-reporting>

14. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. 2025 Inspection Priorities. Date: 2025. Source distinction: Independent regulator. Supports: inspection focus includes audit areas with increased technology use, including generative AI.. URL: <https://pcaobus.org/news-events/news-releases/news-release-detail/pcaob-staff-report-outlines-2025-inspection-priorities-with-focus-on-driving-improvements-in-audit-quality>

15. Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. Amendments Related to Technology-Assisted Analysis. Source distinction: Independent regulator / standards implementation. Supports: amendments to AS 1105 and AS 2301; requirements related to technology-assisted analysis and audit evidence; effective for fiscal years beginning on or after 15 December 2025.. URL: <https://pcaobus.org/oversight/standards/implementation-resources-PCAOB-standards-rules/amendments-related-to-aspects-of-designing-and-performing-audit-procedures-that-involve-technology-assisted-analysis-of-information-in-electronic-form>

16. World Economic Forum. Future of Jobs Report 2025. Date: January 2025. Source distinction: Institutional benchmark. Use: broader jobs, skills and workforce-strategy context; not PwC-specific evidence.. URL: <https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/> ---